

for The Defense

Training Newsletter of the Maricopa County Public Defender's Office

Volume 15, Issue 1

January 2005



Women Behind Bars It's Not Just Biology

By Helene Abrams, Juvenile Division Chief, Linda Fee, Dependency Attorney, Office of the Legal Defender and Ellen Kirschbaum, Administrator of Womens' Services, Arizona Department of Corrections

Female offenders are a rapidly growing prison population at local, state and national levels. Since the U.S. Bureau of Justice Statistics began collecting data in 1926, the female inmate population has risen every decade. Since 1980, the number of women in prison has increased at nearly double the rate for men. The number of women in state and federal prisons has increased seven-fold from 12,300 in 1980 to 96,000 in 2002. Even though they represent a small percentage of the overall offender population, the rate of growth is sobering.

Within the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC), the female inmate population has more than doubled in the last ten years. National statistics tell us that Arizona is incarcerating female offenders at a much higher rate than are other states. A Bureau of Justice Statistics Report on Prisoners in 2002 from the U.S. Department of Justice states that the U.S. incarceration rate for females was 54 per 100,000. Arizona, with an incarceration rate of 81 females incarcerated per 100,000 female citizens, was ranked sixth among the states with only Mississippi, Oklahoma,

Louisiana, Texas, and Idaho higher.

Today, over 2,700 women are incarcerated in Arizona's state prison, and 2,048 are in Arizona's fifteen county jails (included in those totals are one minor female in the ADC system and five transferred youth in the county jails). Two-thirds of the women entering Arizona state prisons each year are there for technical violations of their parole or probation, not new crimes.

Women have different pathways to crime than do men, and approximately 79 percent of Arizona's current women offenders were sentenced to ADC for non-violent crimes. Substance abuse is a primary factor in much of the crime committed by women. Forty percent are currently serving time for alcohol/drug-related crime. More disturbing is the statistic that 85 percent of the women have a substance abuse problem.

Nearly 26 percent of ADC's female offenders require mental health services on an on-going basis,



*Delivering
America's Promise
of Justice for All*

for The Defense

Editor: Christopher
Johns

Assistant Editors:
Jeremy Mussman
Keely Farrow

Office:
11 West Jefferson, Ste 5
Phoenix, AZ 85003
(602) 506-8200

Copyright © 2005

Volume 15 Issue 1

James J. Haas, Maricopa County Public Defender

which is double the percentage of men. These problems correlate to a high incidence of sexual abuse, sexual assault and domestic violence victimization among this population. Substance abuse can be a form of self-medication for many of these women who are not receiving professional mental health treatment, leaving them to suffer from two primary disorders of equivalent severity. These co-occurring problems require accurate assessment and timely gender-responsive treatment within the prison setting and upon release to the community.

A closer look at the profile of female offenders suggests distinctive needs and concerns different from those of male offenders. An important issue specific to this population is that many of the women are the primary caretakers of dependent children. A random study conducted among the ADC female inmate population in July 2002 revealed that at least 81 percent had children. Of that number, over 49 percent indicated they had primary care of their children before incarceration and 74 percent expected to be reunited with their children upon release. Six percent of women entering Arizona state prisons are pregnant. Most frequently, their babies are cared for by family members.

When facing incarceration, women always ask the same questions: When can I see my children? What will happen to my children when I'm gone?

We have an obligation to these clients and their families to find out the answers to these and

many other questions. We also should take a proactive, positive approach to learn and then to teach about what our clients should do while they are in custody and what they should expect when they are released.

The first step is to address the questions that are most important to the client. What does happen to the children of our clients who go to jail or prison? Some have a spouse who takes on a single parent role while our clients are away. Others have parents or siblings who can take in the children. But what about the others? Without a relative or other adult able to take care of the children, the kids will likely be found to be dependent. And even if a parent or sibling takes the children, there are still important things to address like providing a way for the parent substitute to enroll the children in school, sign permission slips, and obtain medical care.

In addition, CPS does not go through the jail/DOC population looking for incarcerated parents. If the inmate has made suitable arrangements for the child/children before her incarceration, CPS will probably never know about those children. The problem arises when the caregiver—whether they be the other parents or another party—comes to the attention of CPS by abusing or neglecting those children or other children in his or her care. If CPS files a dependency petition, the inmate has little defense to the allegation that she cannot parent her children at this point UNLESS she can provide another appropriate person as a caregiver AND CPS is willing to accept guardianship with that person as opposed to a dependency. This is much more likely to happen if the other parent also agrees to the guardianship. If that solution does not work, the children will most likely be found dependent as to the inmate.

An inmate with dependent children faces significant obstacles, especially if she is sentenced to more than a year or two. If the other non-incarcerated parent does not succeed in getting the children returned to him, CPS will eventually decide that the children need “permanency” and attempt to terminate the

Contents

Women Behind Bars	1
Childhood Trauma - Foundation for Mitigation	4
Shaw/Dizon Award Winners Announced	5
Day at the Races - Another Successful Event	6
Employment Available for Ex-Offenders.....	7
Jury and Bench Trial Results	10

parental rights of the inmate and other parent so that the children can be adopted. If the inmate's sentence is more than two years and she hasn't committed a crime which, by its nature, makes her unfit to parent, the ground will probably be A.R.S. Section 8-533(B)(4): "That the parent is deprived of civil liberties due to the conviction of a felony...(and)the sentence of that parent is of such length that the child will be deprived of a normal home for a period of years." A possible defense to this is that the inmate attempted to provide for the children through a guardianship with a relative or friend, but CPS has refused to do this. CPS will also have to prove that termination is in the "best interest of the child." A child who is non-adoptable or who is bonded to the inmate is obviously not appropriate for termination. The attorney for the inmate in the dependency has to work diligently throughout the course of the case to force CPS to maintain contact between the parent and the children and also needs to obtain a bonding assessment prior to any termination trial. Also, he or she must locate all possible relative placements who are willing and appropriate to accept guardianship of the children. Clearly, these techniques work better with older children who already have a strong bond with the inmate.

The second step is to address the issues that our client will face when she is released. Initially, we should encourage the clients to take advantage of any programs that will provide marketable job skills. Seeking full time employment after release is difficult enough, but it can be easier if our client learns some skills while she is incarcerated. We should also encourage the clients to participate in any programs that will address personal issues. Many women need treatment to successfully address the trauma associated with physical and sexual abuse. Substance abuse, which is often the client's substitute for treatment to address trauma issues, also needs to be addressed. Education opportunities should not be passed up either. A woman who is young enough, may still qualify for special educational services under federal law. Many facilities have programs to obtain GED's, and some have college classes. For example, at Perryville, a client can take classes through Rio Salado Community College.

Third, one must prepare for release. The necessities must be provided. Food, shelter, clothing, temporary cash assistance, and personal identification are critical. Make sure the client obtains ID before leaving as this will eliminate many barriers to obtaining help. The client must also understand how much it costs just to survive when she is released. Preparing a budget will help.

Once released, the first task is finding a job. Many women who have never worked will need to do so when released. Learning how to write a cover letter and prepare a resume will help in preparing to talk to future employers. Finding a place to live, applying for Title XIX or AHCCSS eligibility must also be done. Remind the client that in order to make it, the stress that comes with all this change must also be dealt with.

We all want our clients to succeed once released. Ninety-six percent of inmates will be released at some point. Approximately 12 percent of offenders on community supervision are females. Discussing your client's needs and possible resources may put them at ease and also help them to plan for the future.

One message we can tell our clients is that ADC wants them to succeed as well. The transition from prison to the community is the point of reentry prison programs and activities, reentry plans, and the types of supervision and services they will receive upon release are factors that will shape their success – or failure. ADC's Director, Dora B. Schriro, is dedicated to creating a "parallel universe" behind bars and restorative justice efforts. The parallel universe applies the notion that life inside prison should resemble life outside prison to the greatest extent possible so that inmates acquire values, habits and skills characteristic of pro-social living that will help them become productive, law-abiding citizens. This means offenders will all work, make restitution to their victims, and participate in basic educational and vocational training. ADC is now in the process of building capacity to fully implement this policy over the next three years. This model will measurably

Continued on p. 9

Childhood Trauma as a Foundation for Mitigation

By Sara Johnson, Mitigation Specialist

Childhood Experiences May Provide Mitigation Evidence

Many of our clients are unable to remember the early years of their lives for a constellation of reasons. Because of this, practitioners must depend upon family members and friends to fill in the blanks in order to prepare a history of a client's early years. As formidable as this can be, it is imperative that practitioners learn what created the foundation of each client's perspective. A person's character, behavior, actions, and reactions depend on this information.

According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, a person's brain will develop with certain abilities depending on his experiences in the first three years of life. A study conducted on abandoned and severely maltreated children of Romania proved that their abuse had a measurable physical effect on their brains. The study showed that repeated trauma led to an increased release of stress hormones creating visible lesions in certain areas of the brain. In fact, according to researchers, the areas responsible for the management of their emotions were 20-30% smaller than other children of the same age group.

Ideas to Consider for Interviews

When interviewing clients who may have been victims of childhood trauma, there are several key elements to consider. For example, it may be impossible for the client to recall if he experienced neglect as an infant. If, however, a family member or close friend of the family is cooperative and willing, they may be able to indicate whether the client suffered from failure to thrive, was delayed in reaching certain childhood milestones, showed withdrawn

behavior, demonstrated a habit of hoarding or stealing food, or simply did not respond to caregivers. By asking these critical questions, this information can be accumulated without revealing that the interviewer suspects abusive neglect.

CPS Records as a Source of Information

Many times, the above situations or conditions result in a transfer of the child to the custody of Child Protective Services (CPS). In such a case, CPS records may indicate the exact type of childhood trauma a particular client suffered. Many of our clients, however, remained in their households and endured abuse throughout their childhood years. Although a client may not recall the actual abuse, he may remember engaging in certain behaviors that may lead the interviewer to suspect trauma and investigate further.

Other types of childhood trauma include physical abuse, sexual abuse, emotional abuse, and general trauma. A child who has suffered physical abuse will often be wary of adult contact, apprehensive when other children cry, and may be physically and/or emotionally withdrawn. A child who has suffered sexual abuse may pay unusual attention to body parts, impulsively touch themselves or others inappropriately, or experience aggression. A child who has suffered from emotional abuse may have a loss of interest in activities, complain about body aches and pains with no medical explanation, or have a loss of energy or concentration. General trauma, for example, may be an earthquake, war, or a life-altering event. Parents or caregivers are more likely to be willing to discuss such experiences as they had no control over these types of trauma. Reactions include that the client was distracted

at school, experienced sleep disturbances, or showed hypervigilant behavior.

Explaining Behaviors

The information obtained in cases where the client has suffered childhood trauma may be useful when introducing the mystery behind a person's behavior. The mitigation attached to the events of traumas can offer an explanation for drug abuse, mental illness, and certain criminal behaviors. "Trauma" is an experience that permanently and physically changes the human brain. Generally, a person is unable to 'grow out' of such experiences. It is physically impossible.

Although some clients may have developed excellent coping skills, many continue to suffer with the irreversible damage that childhood trauma caused. As a result, these particular clients may be living with distorted realities that, if left untreated, can create a cycle of childhood trauma for their children as well.



Shaw/Dizon Award Winners Announced

By Jim Haas, Public Defender

At our second annual holiday picnic on Saturday, December 4, 2004, the Bingle Dizon Commitment to Excellence Award was presented to Taz Clark, and the Joe Shaw Award was presented to Jeff Roth.

Taz retired in late December after more than 18 years with the office. She excelled as a secretary in our Trial, Appeals and Juvenile Divisions, and as our Lead Secretary in Group C for a time. She is well-known for her skill, high quality work, and kindness and patience with clients and their families. Taz will certainly be missed, and it is wonderful that our Awards Committee selected her for the award.

Jeff is a trial attorney in Group B, and has been with the office for seven years. He is known as one of the most dedicated and compassionate attorneys in our office. His work ethic, personal commitment to clients, and dedication to high quality representation make him one of the best criminal defense attorneys in the state. He is routinely sought out by other attorneys for advice, and he is always willing to take the time to help. The Awards Committee made a great choice here also.

Please join me in congratulating Taz and Jeff!

Day at the Races - Another Successful Event

Each year, the Public Defender's Office assists in promoting the County's Charitable Contributions Campaign. This year, as part of our fund raising efforts, we hosted our 2nd Annual 'Day-at-the-Races' on October 20, 2004. The event, at which actual horse racing videos were narrated from start to finish and shown ased in the Public Defender Training Facility, was a tremendous success. At the conclusion of the races an auction was held. Attendees were able to bid on the donated prizes with the 'funny money' they won during the races. All event materials, gift certificates and prizes were provided through departmental fundraising events and from private business donations. A special thanks to Food City, who donated all the food for the event.

This year's event raised over \$1,500, all of which was given to Maricopa County's EASE (Employees Assisting and Supporting Employees) program.

Sincere thanks to the following staff members who helped organize and stage the event.

Committee Coordinator - Judi Wheeler

Auctioneers - Dan Lawrence & Jim Haas

John Beardt
 Ryan Kaatley
 Jeanne Hylar
 Keely Farrow
 Amanda Contreras
 Sarah Flores
 Sherry Pope
 Stephanie McMillen
 Floriel Mumeta
 Lorenzo Munoz
 Lisa Ariza
 Mary Southern
 Renee Rivers-Thomas

Oscar Lopez
 Carol Miller
 Kelley Duggan
 Dottie Storey
 Gail Peterson
 Kathy Quintana
 Rosemary Jones
 Vanessa Villa
 Norma Munoz
 Irene Esqueda
 Kathleen Carey
 Sophia Rosales
 Erika Gomez
 Natalia Estupinon

Employment Available for Ex-Offenders

By Vivian Arnold Bethel, Mitigation Specialist

Finding suitable employment can be a challenge for those individuals who have been arrested and/or incarcerated. Many of our clients have struggled with this burden and have given up hope. There are, however, a number of employers in Maricopa County who are willing to hire ex-offenders. The following is a list of companies that will consider hiring individuals with criminal histories:

AAA Landscapes 3747 East Southern Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85710	Contact Veronica Fax	Denise Yonprok toll 1-817-3880 Must Apply in Person
ALLEGRO 425 East Chandler Road #104 Tempe, AZ 85283	Contact Veronica Fax	Sandra toll 1-800-777-7777 1-480-937-9878
Anna Hiller Products 4340 North 21 st Avenue Tucson, AZ 85718	Contact Veronica	Frank Lusk toll 1-254-6813
Alfred Tubo & Company 2825 N. 27 th Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85711	Contact Veronica	Manager Frank Lusk toll 1-272-6614
Arizona Linen 4115 West Chandler Road Tucson, AZ 85717	Contact Veronica	Rob. Human Resources toll 1-254-8387
Auto Zone Valley Verde	Contact	Store Manager Must Apply in Person
Blaris Cabinets 502 West 27 th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85010	Contact Veronica	Human Resources toll 1-800-562-5628
Blaris Windows Valley Verde	Contact	Store Manager Must Apply in Person
BOJ 4201 East Chandler Road Tucson, Arizona 85714	Contact Veronica Fax	Merida Maguier toll 1-800-4433 toll 1-520-8778
BOJ 2850 Chandler Blvd. Chandler, Arizona	Contact Veronica Fax	Janice toll 1-800-877-4817 toll 1-520-8778

Mass Fully Formed, Inc. (Labor I Build & Install Countertops 4447 S. Sammie Mesquite, Arizona	Yes/No Yes/No	(480) 254-2227
--	--------------------------	-----------------------

Will consider individuals with military convictions, but no within 5 years of release.

Mass Lutheran Hospital 414 North Country Club Mesquite, AZ	Contact Yes/No	Human Resources (480) 254-7277
---	---------------------------	---

Metropolitan Provisions 451 West 53rd Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85043	Contact Yes/No	Sherry (602) 273-0700
--	---------------------------	----------------------------------

Mid-State Mechanical 387 S Buck Linn Phoenix, AZ 85041	Contact Yes/No	Janney (602) 470-7000
---	---------------------------	----------------------------------

Miami Builders 303 North T^h Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85007	Contact Yes/No Fax	Mary (602) 253-9773 (602) 253-3879
--	-----------------------------------	---

Modern Industries 4753 East Camelback Lane Phoenix, AZ 85044	Contact Yes/No Fax	Front Desk (602) 224-7243 (602) 27-447-33
---	-----------------------------------	--

NAATA Auto Parts 2877 West Thomas Road Phoenix, AZ 85017	Contact Yes/No	Manager (602) 253-7807
---	---------------------------	-----------------------------------

Must apply in person and military conviction must be more than 7 years old.

Orion Warehouse 4643 West Nile Phoenix, Arizona 85044	Contact Yes/No Fax	Philip (602) 253-4000 (602) 253-7227
--	-----------------------------------	---

Phoenix South West Cable 7373 West Vogel Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85345	Contact Yes/No Fax	Front Desk (602) 273-9737 (602) 224-1343
---	-----------------------------------	---

Pop Toys - Valley-Vista 2754 East Hill Road Phoenix, AZ 85032	Contact Yes/No	Store Manager (602) 224-7223 Must apply in person
--	---------------------------	--

Phoenix Street Retail Joint Apprentices Training 2814 East Adams Street Phoenix, AZ 85034	Contact Yes/No Fax	Front Desk (602) 273-8377 (602) 224-1343
--	-----------------------------------	---

Pre-Cast Manufacturing Concrete Molds 301 West Broadway Phoenix, AZ 85041	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Front Desk (602) 276-4341 (602) 276-2907
Quality Bumper (1) 3225 E. Butternut Drive Scottsdale, Arizona	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Thad (480) 368-8400 (602) 258-8577
Quality Bumper (2) 13404 North Cave Creek Road Phoenix, Arizona 85024	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Front Desk (602) 867-3540 (602) 258-8577
Quality Bumper (3) 405 East Main Street Mesa, AZ 85201	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Manager (480) 835-0088 (602) 258-8577
Quality Bumper (4) 3708 West Thunderbird Road Phoenix, AZ	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Manager (623) 486-0292 (602) 258-8577
Quality Underground of Arizona 22 East Victory Phoenix, AZ 85040	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Human Resources (602) 276-1980 (602) 276-4788
State of Arizona - DES 1717 West Jefferson Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 Resumes must be submitted with resume supplement form (SF-501)	Contact: Voice: Fax:	Resumes (602) 271-8596 (602) 542-4998
Food Services 4650 West Buckeye Phoenix, AZ 85043	Contact: Voice:	Human Resources (602) 269-7241

Continued from Women Behind Bars, p. 3

improve correctional outcomes, reduce overcrowding problems and lower crime itself.

For more information about ADC's female offender population and programs, you can contact Ellen Kirschbaum, Administrator of Women Services at (602)364-364-2405 or send an e-mail to ekirsch@azcorrections.gov.

Jury and Bench Trial Results

October/November 2004

Public Defender's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator <i>Paralegal</i>	Judge	Prosecutor	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
8/23 - 11/18	Patterson Arvanitas <i>Rubio</i>	Ishikawa	Martinez	CR00-096032-001SE Murder, F1	Guilty	Jury
9/15 - 10/18	Bevilacqua / Stazzone Souther <i>Bowman</i>	Granville	Stevens / Kalish	CR02-006861 Murder, F1D Armed Robbery, F2D Burglary, F4N	Guilty	Jury
9/23 - 10/7	Taradash Robinson <i>Oliver</i>	Hotham	Imbordino	CR03-019327-001DT Murder, F1	Guilty	Jury
9/28 - 9/30	Boehmer / Schreck Seaberry <i>Curtis</i>	Talamante	Starke- son	CR04-008569-001DT Theft of Means of Transportation, F3	Guilty	Jury
9/30 - 10/5	Conter	Schwartz	Green	CR03-023542-001 DT 2 cts. Agg. DUI, F4	Guilty	Jury
10/4	Budge	Cunanan	Clark	CR03-025661-001 DT 2 cts. Agg. DUI Rev/Suspended License, F4	Guilty 1ct. Not Guilty 1ct.	Jury
10/4 - 10/26	Reece Brazinskas <i>Jaichner / Coward</i>	Keppel	Gialket- sis	CR03-019408-001DT Murder 2nd Degree, F1	Guilty	Jury

Jury and Bench Trial Results October/November 2004

Public Defender's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator <i>Paralegal</i>	Judge	Prosecutor	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
10/12 - 10/18	Montano / Bublik Munoz	Rayes	Linn	CR03-009957-001DT Burglary, F4	Not Guilty	Jury
10/14 - 10/16	L. Green	Hicks	Mayer	CR04-009669-001DT 4 cts. Theft of Credit Card, F5 Misconduct Inv. Wpns, F4	Guilty MIW DV - Ct. 5 Guilty - 3 cts. Theft of Credit Card	Jury
10/18	Mais	Nothwehr	Knudsen	CR04-005517-001 DT 2 cts. Agg. DUI, F4	Not Guilty 1ct. Guilty 1ct.	Jury
10/18 - 10/20	Ziamba Klosinski	Cunanan	Parker	CR03-036490-001SE Agg. DUI Susp/Rev, F4 Agg. DUI passenger under 15, F6	Not Guilty	Jury
10/19 - 10/21	Howe / Davis Jones Curtis	Schneider	Munoz	CR02-011151 Forgery, F4	Not Guilty	Jury
10/19 - 10/21	Whalen	Talamante	Zastrow	CR01-012105 Taking ID of Another, F4 Forgery, F4	Guilty	Jury
10/20	Souccar Stinson	Nothwehr	Reva	CR03-012182-001 DT 2 cts Agg. DUI, F4	Not Guilty	Jury

Jury and Bench Trial Results

October/November 2004

Public Defender's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator <i>Paralegal</i>	Judge	Prosecutor	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
10/28 - 11/2	MacLeod	O'Connor	Lucero	CR03-026853-001DT TOMT, F3 Poss. Burg. Tools, F6 Theft, M1	Guilty of TOMT Not Guilty of PoBT Guilty of Theft	Jury
10/28 - 11/2	Iniguez	Nothwehr	Knudsen	CR04-038062-001 DT 2 cts. Agg. DUI, F4 2 cts. Agg. DUI, F6	Guilty	Jury
11/1 - 11/8	Clemency	Trujillo	Zastrow	CR04-016244-001DT Theft, F3 Burglary 3rd Degree, F4	Guilty	Jury
11/2 - 11/3	Whitney	Talamante	Rodriguez	CR02-097912-001SE PODD, F4 POM, F6	Guilty	Jury
11/2 - 11/4	Momberger Dodge Berry	O'Connor	Letellier	CR04-011819-001DT Agg. Assault, F6	Not Guilty	Jury
11/2 - 11/5	Gaziano	McClen- nen	Mc- Gregor	CR03-039421-001SE Disorderly Conduct, F6D	Not Guilty	Jury
11/2 - 11/5	Momberger	O'Connor	Letellier	CR04-017392-001DT Agg. Assault, F4	Guilty	Jury

Jury and Bench Trial Results

October/November 2004

Public Defender's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator Paralegal	Judge	Prosecutor	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
11/15 - 11/17	Brokl / Willmott Elzy Coward	Talamante	Lucca	CR04-013785-001DT Resisting Arrest, F6	Guilty	Jury
11/15 - 11/17	Keller	O'Connor	Grimsman	CR03-007224-001DT Agg. Assault, F4	Guilty	Jury
11/17 - 11/18	Gaziano	Stephens	Long	CR04-037785-001SE Burglary 3rd Degree, F4 Burglary Tools Poss., F6	Guilty	Jury
11/17 - 11/18	Meshel	Gerst	Keleman	CR04-014566-001DT 2 cts. Agg DUI, F4 2 cts. Agg DUI w/Passenger under 15, F6 Extreme DUI, BAC .15 or more, F6	Guilty	Jury
11/17 - 11/22	Mais	Nothwehr	Reva	CR03-010066-001 DT 2 cts. Agg. DUI, F4	Guilty	Jury
11/19	Engle Landau	Klein	Baca	CR04-005465-001DT Burglary 2, F3	Guilty but Insane	Bench
11/22	Goodman	Guzman	Stubner	CR04-00319AMI IJP, M1	R20 Directed Verdict NG	Jury

Jury and Bench Trial Results

October/November 2004

Legal Defender's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator <i>Paralegal</i>	Judge	Prosecutor	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
10/12 - 10/21	Egita Landtiser	O'Toole	Washington	CR2004-011318-001 DT CR2002-093522 Ct.1:Burglary 1st Deg., C2D Ct.2:Agg.Assault, C3D Ct.3:Agg.Assault, C3D	Guilty	Jury
10/19 - 10/26	Navazo	Donahoe	Murphy	CR2004-013401-002 DT Ct.1:Armed Robbery, C2F Ct.2:Theft, C6F Ct.3:Forgery, C4F	Guilty	Jury
10/21 - 10/28	Shaler	Blakey	Duvendack	CR2003-024602-001 DT Ct.1:Agg. Assault, C3F	Guilty	Jury
10/25	Napper	Hauser	Church	CR2003-020993-001 DT Ct.1:Unlawful Use of Trans., C6F	Not Guilty	Jury
10/28	Egita	Hicks	Anderson	CR2004-009471-001 DT Ct.1:ATT:POND, C5F	Guilty	Jury
11/2 - 11/3	Vogel	Hauser	Rhude	CR2004-011531-001 DT Ct.1:PODD, C4F Ct.2:PODP, C6F Ct.2:POM, C6F	Guilty	Jury

Jury and Bench Trial Results October/November 2004

Legal Advocate's Office

Dates: Start - Finish	Attorney Investigator <i>Paralegal</i>	Judge	CR# and Charges(s)	Result	Bench or Jury Trial
10/7 - 10/8	Craig / Bauer	Foreman	CR2004-007743-001-DT Theft of Means of Transportation	Guilty	Jury
10/7 - 11/10	Schaffer / Todd Mullavey, Stovall, Prieto Brewer	Galati	CR2003-005315-001-DT Capital Murder Attempted Murder, F2 Arson 2 cts., F2 Endangerment 3 cts., F6	Guilty	Jury
10/20 -10/27	Peterson / Buck Mullavey	Rayes	CR2003-014776-001-DT Agg. Robbery Conspiracy	Guilty	Jury
11/3 - 11/9	Gray Bauer, Stovall	O'Toole	CR2003-025703-001-DT PODP, F6 Manufacturing DD, F2	Mistrial	Jury



Got the Writer's Bug?

Then, consider submitting an article for publication in
for The Defense.

Articles, practice pointers and other training related
information are welcome at anytime...So, submit
next article to one of our editors soon!



M

C

P

D

Maricopa County
Public Defender's Office
11 West Jefferson, Suite 5
Phoenix, AZ 85003
Tel: 602 506 8200
Fax: 602 506 8377
pdinfo@mail.maricopa.gov

for The Defense

for The Defense is the monthly training newsletter published by the Maricopa County Public Defender's Office, James J. Haas, Public Defender. *for The Defense* is published for the use of public defenders to convey information to enhance representation of our clients. Any opinions expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily representative of the Maricopa County Public Defender's Office. Articles and training information are welcome and must be submitted to the editor by the 10th of each month.