1

- No Good Parking Areas Now (George) Clutter & Veh.
  - Cars & Horse Trailers
  - Corral
  - Access
- (Brenda) Remain on E. side parking
- (Terry Smith) Trail Connections W.C.C. Area Ack. That SCR is a part of a interconnected
- (Stephanie) Museum Hoping to relocate in the Area. House in one structure.

(Clay) Assessment of fragile Area & to determine the impacts
— Conservation
(Bob) Prospectors - Would like to be allowed w/some regs.
(Brenda) Arch. is fragile. There has been some research done. Preservation & Conservation is the issue.
Designate certain sites that can be visited & used for educ. by the public
(Terry-Hopi) Do not Nat'l. Service model 'cause there are many sites that are being backfilled

Sites will fall into disrepair ③
Concerns - New Interp
New Approach; More respectful
"Footprints" of ancestors.
Think of them as cemetaries
"You don't put picnic table
next to cemetary"
(George) Opposed to restricting
the area to a select few 'cause
we have paid the taxes -
- Hiking Trails, Horses
- Limit no vehicles "
"System of compromise
C.C. Residents should have access
with trail connections.

(Carl) 7.5 involvement

Process done on an eco-system approach. This area is part of a watershed (i.e. water movement, fire, law enforce.)

Work in Partnership to provide a continuum

"Larger concept" that should be examined.

Ways to cooperate on this Responsible public use.

(Brenda) Access to Tonto Nat'l. will ease the issues

(Terry) Why C.C. was told it was a conservation area.
'cause it was a joint partnership
It could not be called a park or recreation area.
It has to be called a conservation area.
Because of the State's easement. were told it would be
People in C.C. ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~
recreation but we just had to be called a C.A.

(George) There is a happy medium.
Its possible to preserve this area for future generations while letting access in an org, structured manner.

(Stephanie) Museum will be working w/us in the development of the plan & implement planning & on exhibits, etc.

(Hopi) No major new excavations as the focus. Access to sites in a non-destructive manner is desirable.
We are all on the same page.

Not engaging in exploitation of the resource. (6)

(Brenda) There's more harmony C.C. We want to achieve the same goal. People that want to preserve they want keep it as it is — but sensitive to the need for C.C. Should have acess.

- No vehicles.
- Use SC for educational purpose (e.g., Docent Program, Museum, etc.) That will bring people to the site in an unobtrusive way

(John G.) FGA 2.1 - Purpose — Provides clear direction for project. Rec. use will be subset to natural values

(Terry) Don't mind preserving site in C.C. but concern about how we limit access (i.e., certain # on a trail/day) ⑦

- Other agreements state recreational use.
- Trail can go by a site - there can be limits
- Recreation is a major factor.

(Joanne) In Museum com. when accept an object you are resp. to preserve that item - SLR is no dif. - C.C. is charged w/ the public trust for the resources. This is the overriding purpose. Don't want to see it over run w/ peh.

(Gail) (On IGA Neg. Team) Conserv. was chosen carefully. There's an easy balance. The Com. felt strong about conservation as well as access for recreation.

Cultural Resources

(3)

Add - Cultural Landscape
- Views looking in dif directions

→ Change historical bldgs (add features

"Natural" vs. "Undisturbed"

"Weasal word" (Jean) → Nothing out there is pristine

→ How do you define these terms?

Careful in the words we choose

Its all part of the cultural landscape

Add - Historical Resources - dif. levels of resources

- Removing Exotic species?
  - On Going / Part of the M.P.

(Gail) "Effective Draw for Tourist" (9)
This is an issue. Making this an endpt. for a large # of tourist is a problem. Access for locals has been fought hard.

(George) No Tourism — limited access
would not want to have a removal of tourism 'cause this is an opport. But the key is control (e.g. trails, access, limit fac.)

(Joanne) Controlled access w/in specific uses. We can control the people going there (e.g. Kattchner Caverns). We need to plan for that kind of response.

(Kyle) Wildlife unique to the area & to riparian areas.
— Assessment & Monitoring should be a part of this —

(Kyle) Human/Wildlife Conflicts (10)
Need to Make people aware of this.
Better educ. to understand implic.

(Terry) Concern about limited access
to Tonto & other regional areas
Maybe sep. the two — But not to
the pt. of limited access altogether.

(Deloin) There's a tremendous history
at Spur Cross. Our interest is to work
cooperatively to ensure full enjoyment
of the property & access to the F.S.
A lot of activities have occurred
on the forest — Multiple Use
Manage Nat'l Forest in concert w/ the
desires of the surrounding areas.
Need to look at the larger area.
Not opposed to reducing or adding trails
We want vehicular public access.

We want to keep the road too similar state as it is now. (11)

(Grace) Since Conserv. Area, access has been cut down to F.S.
- Limits to other parts of forest
- Biggest mistake that has been done & divided the community.
- Don't like "Herd Hiking"
- Difficult for older folks to walk to the extra distance to the trailhead.

(Georg.) What about alternative transp. from current proposed parking down to trailhead? Eg. Trail #4

(Brenda) Doesn't address access for horses.

Stephanie) Loc. of Mines?
Mar. Mine is w/in boundary
Phx. Mine – t. y... to finalize it this summer. Town is in process of purchasing
Is there going to be coordination on the entire clean up?
- There should be coordination.
- Phx. site is where parking occurs.

Geology (#2 slide)
3rd dot add Biology

(Delvin) Are we doing studies re: the riparian areas (particularly what's underneath)
- Don't think the shallow ground water is related to contamination of salts, nitrates, etc. but more related to mineral wastes.
- L.U. – not just M.C. but beyond M.C. to include Pinal

(Gail)

(Bob S.) Cellular phones — attenua site location criteria. (13)

• The various L.U. Planning — affect each other

(Karl) Relates to L.U. slide. "Change May" they do affect each other.

(George) Questioned who coordinates aerial tankers.

— Mc. coord. w/ASLD

• Initial Attack Fire's — What are they?

(Stephanie)

• Switch Fire Slides (Karl)

(Explain it Better) Fire is not a nature occurence in the Sonoran

(Mark) • Need to address how fire is fought at an archaeological site

• What is sit. for fire control today?
(Jean)

• John Gunn re: Fire Slide. Most 2) Spur Cross is between 2 ecosystems — Different adaptability to fire — In mgmt. understand that the possibility for fire exists — Better def. that some areas are more adaptable to fire than others —

(Terry) — Trails should be a part of the specific theme.
- Historical aspect of trails — they are an asset.

(George) Noise Slide — Second dot pt. — Enough plane & jeep tour noise does have an impact — Take issue w/how dot pt. is described. Veh. noise does upset wildlife, etc

Fred Pg. 5 - Concerned about recreational Uses (15)

- Hunting - Should it be allowed? I think now
- Jeep Tours - shouldn't be allowed
- Mt. Bikers - concern w/speed & the interaction w/other users
  - specify specific trails by use.

Might impact Town's possibility of getting Heritage funds 'kause of mult.-use

(Gail) Fighting Overflight noise here in Co
Arrival route over Spur Cross

John Noise → Accoustical Resource etc (Recognize & Respect) - Birds,
Value of the sound of H_2O moving thru the creek. Focus on Positi

Brenda Every resource is impacted by the Jeep Tours as they exist today
- Visitation
- Eco Tourism
- Educational Resource - gd. opport.

Good

Gail Educ. people about the process to rally to protect the resources

Wiseep We understand that ~~it~~ our role could change. We do take handicaps etc. to the site. We try to be good stewards of the land! We need access back to the land that we lease