

Maricopa County Air Quality Rulemaking Meetings Calendar

January

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
January 04, 2007 9 am	Public Workshop Maricopa County Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) Program	December 21, 2006	January 08, 2007 By 5 pm	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
1	January 04, 2007 9 am Public Workshop Maricopa County Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) Program To solicit discussion and comments regarding the Maricopa County HAPs program. Maricopa County is proposing the HAPs program to be similar to and no more stringent than the Arizona Department Of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ's) Arizona program for the regulation of HAPs.			

February

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
1	No rulemaking proceedings have been scheduled for February 2007			

March

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
March 13, 2007 At 1.30 pm	Oral Proceeding Maricopa County Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) Program	Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking Published February 09, 2007	March 14, 2007 By 5 pm	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
1	To solicit formal comments on Maricopa County's proposed HAPs program. Maricopa County's HAPs program will be similar to and no more stringent than the Arizona Department Of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ's) Arizona program for the regulation of HAPs.			
March 30, 2007	Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking Published Rule 317-Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators, Rule 321-Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, Rule 360-New Source Performance Standards, Rule 370-Federal Hazardous Air Pollutant Program, Rule 371-Acid Rain, Appendix G-Incorporated	Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking Published March 30, 2007	Close Of Comments April 30, 2007 By 5 pm --- Note: At this time, no oral proceeding is scheduled as	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
2				

	<p style="text-align: center;">Materials</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on revisions to Rules 317, 321, 360, 370, 371, And Appendix G. Revisions to these rules are being proposed in order to update federal references and dates and to include language clarifications, typographical error corrections, and minor technical corrections. In addition, revisions in Rule 370 include removing methyl ethyl ketone from the list of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) to reflect a recent revision made by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This rulemaking may be proposed as an expedited rulemaking.</p>		<p>Maricopa County may choose to propose this rule as an expedited rulemaking</p>	
<p>March 30, 2007</p> <p>3</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking Published</p> <p>Rule 317 - Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators, Rule 321-Municipal Solid Waste Landfills, Rule 360-New Source Performance Standards, Rule 370-Federal Hazardous Air Pollutant Program, Rule 371-Acid Rain, Appendix G-Incorporated Materials</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on revisions to Rules 317, 321, 360, 370, 371, And Appendix G. Revisions to these rules are being proposed in order to update federal references and dates and to include language clarifications, typographical error corrections, and minor technical corrections. In addition, revisions in Rule 370 include removing methyl ethyl ketone from the list of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs) to reflect a recent revision made by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This rulemaking may be proposed as an expedited rulemaking.</p>	<p>Notice Of Proposed Rulemaking Published March 30, 2007</p>	<p>Close Of Comments April 30, 2007 By 5 pm --- Note: At this time, no oral proceeding is scheduled as Maricopa County may choose to propose this rule as an expedited rulemaking</p>	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert</p> <p>(602) 506-6710</p> <p>jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

April

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
<p>April 19, 2007</p> <p>9 am</p> <p>1</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, and Rule 310.01. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, and 310.01 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that</p>	<p>April 13, 2007</p>	<p>April 27, 2007</p> <p>by 5 pm</p>	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert</p> <p>602.506.6710</p> <p>jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

May

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
May 17, 2007 9 am	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, and Rule 310.01. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, and 310.01 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).</p>	May 10, 2007	May 23, 2007 by 5 pm	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
(Postponed until further notice)	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 316-Nonmetallic Mineral Processing</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 316. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 316 to correct section references - to link required control measures to applicable performance standards or test methods - and to improve the rule's clarity and regulatory uniformity among related rules in the Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations. Also, as part of this rulemaking, Maricopa County may add, delete, or modify additional rules as necessary.</p>			Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov

June

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
June 06, 2007 9 am	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Hearing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Maricopa County Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) Program</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on and to request adoption of Maricopa County's proposed HAPs program by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors. Maricopa County's HAPs</p>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published February 9, 2007	March 14, 2007 by 5 pm	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov

	program will be similar to and no more stringent than the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ's) Arizona program for the regulation of HAPs.			
June 07, 2007 9 am	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, and Rule 310.01. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, and 310.01 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).</p>	May 24, 2007	June 13, 2007 by 5 pm	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert</p> <p>602.506.6710</p> <p>jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
June 20, 2007 9 am	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Hearing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 242-Emission Offsets Generated By Voluntary Paving of Unpaved Roads</p> <p>To adopt Maricopa County's proposed new Rule 242. Rule 242 proposes establishing enforceable procedures for calculating offsets for PM10 by sources that voluntarily pave unpaved roads. Rule 242 applies to applicants subject to new source review (NSR) who need offsets for the construction of new major stationary sources or major modifications to existing major sources in the Maricopa County PM10 nonattainment area and voluntarily elect to generate offsets of PM10 by paving unpaved roads in the Maricopa County PM10 nonattainment area. Rule 242 defines offsets as being enforceable, surplus, quantifiable, and permanent, states procedures for an offset plan, and lays out the calculation methodology for the emissions from the road before and after it is paved.</p>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published September 22, 2006	Close of Comments October 25, 2006 by 5 pm	<p>Patricia P. Nelson</p> <p>602.502.6709</p> <p>pnelson@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
June 21, 2007 9 am	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, and Rule 310.01. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, and 310.01 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for</p>	June 14, 2007 --- Draft Comparison	June 29, 2007 by 5 pm	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert</p> <p>602.506.6710</p> <p>jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

July

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
July 09, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 316-Nonmetallic Mineral Processing</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 316. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 316 to comply with commitments made in the Five Percent Plan for PM10, to correct section references - to link required control measures to applicable performance standards or test methods - and to improve the rule's clarity and regulatory uniformity among related rules in the Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations. Also, as part of this rulemaking, Maricopa County may add, delete, or modify additional rules as necessary.</p>	July 02, 2007	July 27, 2007 by 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
July 18, 2007 1:30 p.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 300-Visible Emissions</p> <p>The Maricopa County Air Quality Department (MCAQD) is proposing revisions to Rule 300 to comply with commitments made in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007. The Five Percent Plan for PM10 must demonstrate 5% reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the EPA.</p>	July 12, 2007	July 27, 2007 by 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
July 19, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, and Rule 310.01, and Appendix C. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to</p>	July 12, 2007	July 27, 2007 by 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov

	Rules 200, 300, 310, 310.01, and Appendix C to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).			
July 19, 2007 2:00 p.m.	Public Workshop Rule 314-Open Outdoor Fires Senate Bill 1552 amends ARS §49-501, the statute that authorizes Maricopa County Rule 314. The proposed revisions to the current rule may include an ozone season ban on outdoor burning and a ban on recreational burning on High Pollution days during other times of the year.	July 12, 2007	July 27, 2007 by 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov
July 19, 2007 2:00 p.m.	Public Workshop Residential Woodburning Restriction Ordinance Senate Bill 1552 amends ARS 11-871(B), (D)(3), (D)(4), and 49-501(F). The proposed revisions to the current November 17, 1999 version of the Residential Woodburning Restriction Ordinance may include: – Prohibit the use of woodburning chimineas, outdoor fire pits, and similar outdoor fires on those days for which Maricopa County has issued a no burn day restriction. – The imposition of a civil penalty of \$100 for the third violation. – The imposition of a civil penalty of \$250 for the fourth or any subsequent violation.	July 12, 2007	July 27, 2007 by 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert 602.506.6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov

August

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
August 23, 2007 1:30 p.m.	Public Workshop P-25 Leaf Blower Ordinance Senate Bill 1552 calls for the creation of a county ordinance (applicable area A) restricting leaf blower operation via the addition of Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) §11-877(A)(2) and (A)(3). The new ordinance is proposed to include two restrictions on leaf	August 9, 2007	August 31, 2007 5:00 p.m.	Matt Poppen (602) 506-6707 mpoppen@mail.maricopa.gov

	blower operations: (1) to prohibit the blowing of landscape debris onto any public roadway and (2) to prohibit the operation of a leaf blower except on surfaces that have been stabilized. Also proposed in this new ordinance is a civil penalty structure for violations. As part of this rulemaking, Maricopa County may add, delete, or modify additional ordinances/rules as necessary.			
4 August 27, 2007 1:30 p.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Off-Road Vehicle Ordinance And Vehicle Use and Parking Ordinance</p> <p>The Maricopa County Air Quality Department is proposing two new ordinances as required by the passage of Senate Bill 1552. The ordinances are one of many commitments Maricopa County is making to reduce PM10 emissions that will help Maricopa County attain the federal PM10 standard.</p>	August 27, 2007	September 07, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Kathleen Sommer (602) 506-6706 KathleenSommer@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
5 August 29, 2007 9:00 a.m. - 3:00 p.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Five Percent Plan Rulemaking Project</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, 310.01 and Appendix C. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, 310.01 and Appendix C to fulfill commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan for PM10. Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent in emissions reductions per year until the county reaches attainment.</p>	August 22, 2007	September 07, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert (602) 506-6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
6 August 30, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 316 - Nonmetallic Mineral Processing</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 316. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 316 to fulfill requirements made in the Five Percent Plan for PM10, to correct section references - to link required control measures to applicable performance standards or test methods - and to improve the rule's clarity and regulatory uniformity among related rules in the Maricopa County Air Pollution</p>	August 23, 2007	September 7, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert (602) 506-6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

Regulations. Also, as part of this rulemaking, Maricopa County may add, delete, or modify additional rules as necessary.

September

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
September 20, 2007 2:30 p.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">P-25 Leaf Blower Ordinance</p> <p>Senate Bill 1552 calls for the creation of a County ordinance (applicable in Area A) restricting leaf blower operation via the addition of Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) §11-877(A)(2) and (A)(3). The new ordinance is proposed to include two restrictions on leaf blower operations: (1) to prohibit the blowing of landscape debris onto any public roadway and (2) to prohibit the operation of a leaf blower except on surfaces that have been stabilized. Also proposed in this new ordinance is a civil penalty structure for violations. As part of this rulemaking, Maricopa County may add, delete, or modify additional ordinances/rules as necessary.</p>	September 14, 2007	September 28, 2007 5:00 p.m.	Matt Poppen (602) 506-6707 mpoppen@mail.maricopa.gov
September 20, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 280- Fees</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 280. Because the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Fee increases are anticipated to cover increased costs to implement commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan. As a result, Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 280 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan. Maricopa County will also review the revenue and expenditure projections assumed in establishing the current Rule 280 to determine if fee revenues are adequate to support the Air Quality Department. ----- The proposed revisions would change the fees Maricopa County charges to owners and operators of sources of air pollution. The fees that may be affected are annual administrative fees for Title V and Non-Title V sources, general permit fees, dust control permit fees, asbestos notification and plan review filing fees, and other miscellaneous</p>	September 10, 2007	September 28, 2007 5:00 p.m.	Dena Konopka 602-506-4057 DKonopka@mail.maricopa.gov

	administrative fees. Maricopa County will also propose new fees for a subcontractor registration program and a dust control training program.			
September 26, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Off-Road Vehicle Ordinance and Vehicle Use and Parking Ordinance</p> <p>The Maricopa County Air Quality Department is proposing two new ordinances as required by the passage of Senate Bill 1552. The ordinances are one of many commitments Maricopa County is making to reduce PM10 emissions that will help Maricopa County attain the federal PM10 standard.</p>	September 19, 2007	October 05, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Kathleen Sommer</p> <p>(602) 506-6706</p> <p>KathleenSommer@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
September 27, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 314 - Open Outdoor Fires</p> <p>Senate Bill 1552 amends ARS §49-501, the statute that authorizes Maricopa County Rule 314. The proposed revisions to the current rule may include an ozone season ban on outdoor burning and a ban on recreational burning on High Pollution days during other times of the year.</p>	September 06, 2007	October 05, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Patty Nelson</p> <p>(602) 506-6709</p> <p>PNelson@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

October

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
October 09, 2007 1:30 p.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Workshop</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 280 - Fees</p> <p>To solicit discussion and comments regarding proposed revisions to Rule 280. Because the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007 that demonstrates five percent reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Fee increases are anticipated to cover increased costs to implement commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan. As a result, Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 280 to comply with commitments proposed in the Five Percent Plan. Maricopa County will also review workload, revenue and expenditures to determine if fee revenues are adequate to support the Air Quality</p>	October 04, 2007	October 19, 2007	<p>Dena Konopka</p> <p>(602) 506-4057</p> <p>DKonopka@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

	Department.-----The proposed revisions would change the fees Maricopa County charges to owners and operators of sources of air pollution. The fees that may be affected are annual administrative fees for Title V and Non-Title V sources, general permit fees, dust control permit fees, asbestos notification and plan review filing fees, and other miscellaneous administrative fees. Maricopa County will also propose new fees for a subcontractor registration program and a dust control training program.			
October 17, 2007 9:00 a.m.	<p style="text-align: center;">Public Hearing</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 322, 323, 324 Combustion Rules</p> <p>Following the public hearing, the Board will adopt proposed revisions to Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations, Rules 322, 323, and 324 and MCAQD will submit the revised rules as a revision to the (Arizona) State Implementation Plan (SIP). The rules were passed in 2003 and have been amended to reflect administrative changes and the requirement to perform Method 202 in addition to Method 5. Rule 324 has been amended to reflect the need for hour meters on emergency generators in order to secure a non-resettable, tamperproof hour meter versus paper or electronic records kept by the source and also deletes the broad exemption for agriculture.</p>	Draft Notice of Final Rulemaking - July 30, 2007		<p>Patty Nelson</p> <p>(602) 506-6709</p> <p>PNelson@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

November

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
	No rulemaking proceedings have been scheduled for November 2007			

December

Date	Event	Draft Available	Comments Due	Contact
December 04, 2007 P-27 - 10:30 a.m. Suite 560	<p style="text-align: center;">Oral Proceeding</p> <p style="text-align: center;">P-27-Vehicle Parking and Use On Un-Stabilized Vacant Lots Ordinance</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on Maricopa County's proposed new Ordinance P-27. Senate Bill 1552 amended Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) §49-474.01(A)(7) to require that, in Area A, Maricopa County adopt an ordinance to restrict vehicle parking and use on un-stabilized vacant lots. The proposed new ordinance is intended to regulate a vehicle operator. The proposed new ordinance is not intended to regulate a property owner. A property owner with vehicle use in open areas and vacant lots must comply</p>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published November 2, 2007	December 05, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Kathleen Sommer</p> <p>(602) 506-6706</p> <p>KathleenSommer@mail.maricopa.gov</p>

	with Rule 310.01.			
December 04, 2007 P-26 - 9:00 a.m. Suite 560 2	Oral Proceeding P-26-Residential Woodburning Restriction Ordinance To solicit formal comments on Maricopa County's proposed revisions to the Residential Woodburning Restriction Ordinance. Senate Bill 1552 amended Arizona Revised Statutes §ARS 11-871(B), (D)(3), (D)(4), and 49-501(F). The proposed revisions to the current November 17, 1999 version of the Residential Woodburning Restriction Ordinance include:-----1. Prohibition of the use of woodburning chimineas, outdoor fire pits, and similar outdoor fires on those days for which Maricopa County has issued a no burn day restriction.-----2.Imposition of a civil penalty of \$100 for the third violation and-----3.Imposition of a civil penalty of \$250 for the fourth or any subsequent violation.	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published November 2, 2007	December 05, 2007 5:00 p.m.	Kathleen Sommer (602) 506-6706 KathleenSommer@mail.maricopa.gov
December 06, 2007 9:00 a.m. Cancelled – to be re-scheduled 3	Public Workshop Rule 351 - Loading of Organic Liquids Rule 351 will be amended to clarify language, add definitions, and tighten the definitions of gas tight and vapor tight. The 0.6 lb/1,000 gallon emission standard of volatile organic carbon will be amended to 0.42 lb./1000 gallons which equilibrates with a 95% recovery required by Reasonable Available Control Technology (RACT) for a serious nonattainment area for ozone. This 95% recovery standard in subsection 301.2(a) would then be made consistent with the 95% recovery requirement in Rule 350, Section 308 as addressed by EPA in their 2001 review of these rules.	November 21, 2007	December 14, 2007	Patty Nelson (602) 506-6709 PNelson@mail.maricopa.gov
December 10, 2007 - Location: Flood Control District - 2801 W. Durango - Operations Building 2:30 p.m. - 3:30	Oral Proceeding Rule 316 - Nonmetallic Mineral Processing To solicit comments regarding Maricopa County's proposed revisions to Rule 316. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rule 316 to comply with commitments made in the Five Percent Plan for PM10 to correct section references-to link required control measures to applicable performance standards or test methods-and to improve the	Notice of Proposed Final Rulemaking Published November 9, 2007	December 11, 2007 5:00 p.m.	Johanna M. Kuspert (602) 506-6710 jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov

p.m. - Rule 316 4	rule's clarity and regulatory uniformity among related rules in the Maricopa County Air Pollution Control Regulations.			
December 10, 2007 - Location: Flood Control District - 2801 W. Durango - Operations Building 1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m. - Rule 314 5	<p style="text-align: center;">Oral Proceeding</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rule 314 - Open Outdoor Fires</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on Maricopa County's proposed revisions to Rule 314. Senate Bill 1552 amended Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) §49-501-the statute that authorizes Maricopa County's Rule 314. The proposed revisions to the current Rule 314 may include an ozone season ban on outdoor burning and a ban on recreational burning on high pollution days during other times of the year.</p>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published November 9, 2007	December 11, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Patty Nelson</p> <p>(602) 506-6709</p> <p>PNelson@mail.maricopa.gov</p>
December 10, 2007 - Location: Flood Control District - 2801 W. Durango - Operations Building 9:00 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. - Rules 200, 300, 310 and 310.01 & Appendix C 6	<p style="text-align: center;">Oral Proceeding</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rules 200-Permit Requirements - 300-Visible Emissions - 310-Fugitive Dust - 310.01-Fugitive Dust from Open Areas, Vacant Lots, Unpaved Parking Lots and Unpaved Roadways - Appendix C-Fugitive Dust Test Methods</p> <p>To solicit formal comments on Maricopa County's proposed revisions to Rule 200, Rule 300, Rule 310, Rule 310.01 and Appendix C. Maricopa County is proposing revisions to Rules 200, 300, 310, 310.01 and Appendix C to comply with commitments made in the Five Percent Plan for PM10 . Since the region did not attain the PM10 standard by December 31, 2006, the region needs to submit to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a Five Percent Plan for PM10 by December 31, 2007. The Five Percent Plan for PM10 must demonstrate 5% reductions per year in emissions from the date of submission to the EPA.</p>	Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Published November 9, 2007	December 11, 2007 5:00 p.m.	<p>Johanna M. Kuspert</p> <p>(602) 506-6710</p> <p>jkuspert@mail.maricopa.gov</p>